

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
tunat testa gay

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/777,513	02/06/2001	Editt Gonen-Friedman	ORCL5643	9633
7590 05/12/2004			EXAMINER	
YOUNG LAW FIRM, P.C.			JASMIN, LYNDA C	
Suite 106 4370 Alpine Ro	ad		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Portola Valley,			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/777,513	GONEN-FRIEDMAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lynda Jasmin	3627
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-27,37-39 and 48-72 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-36 and 40-47 is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-27,37-39 and 48-72 is/are rejuted to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	are withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati crity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 09/777,513 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Amendment received on March 8, 2004 has been acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-27, 37-39 and 48-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terry (2001/0012346 A1), in view of Wong (6,115,690).

Terry discloses a computer-implemented and Internet-based system and method of managing Accounts Receivable (AR) information, embodied in a computer readable medium, with the steps of receiving a customer request (via client server) for remote Internet access to accounts receivable information that is owned by a deploying

Application/Control Number: 09/777,513

Art Unit: 3627

company (via a billing web server) (box 0062), retrieving the customer's AR information from a database (via data network 306) and enabling the retrieved AR information to be remotely displayed for the customer (via web 316), the AR information is displayed on a World Wide Web (Web) browser (box 56). Terry further discloses the steps of enabling keyword searching (via search queries) of the AR information stored in the database through a Web browser to retrieve any information stored in the database that matches an entered search criteria, irrespective of a category in which the information is stored in the database (as illustrated in Figure 7) and the keyword searching allows restricted searching based on at least one of category (0071). The retrieved AR information includes invoice information that is optimized for printing in a format that matches a format of a corresponding paper invoice (as illustrated via 122).

However, Terry fails to explicitly disclose a user interface configured to enable the customer to create a credit memo request on disputed invoice and to submit the credit memo request to the deploying company.

Wong discloses the concept of users using the Web for return requests, Return Merchandise Authorizations, credit memos and accounting adjustments. Further, as illustrated in Figure 25 users can track customer invoice and credit memo status, etc. A text box for special comments and phone/fax/email fields are provided. Further, FIG. 40 shows a display of customer invoice records resulting from a search, in this example a customer invoice that was partially paid and a credit memo the credit of which has not been fully taken. From this teaching of Wong, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the interactive

Application/Control Number: 09/777,513

Art Unit: 3627

billing system of Terry to include the return merchandise request and credit memo request taught by Wong in order to facilitate automated returns and credits both on the customer side and the vendor side that may be caused by overcharges for freight, or numerous other circumstances.

Page 4

Further, although Terry fails to explicitly disclose enabling personnel at the deploying company to retrieve and display the customer's AR information at any time, simultaneously as the AR information is displayed for the customer. However, it is customary in the financial business art for a service customer operator to access a customer billing statements in order to assist an online or offline customer. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would provided access to billing information an operator simultaneously with the online user to the teaching of Terry in order to assist an online customer in real-time. As per having restriction on personal keyword search it is a well-known and common practice in the business art in order to preserve the privacy of a customer and the Examiner takes Official Notice as such.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see Paper No. 3, filed February 9, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) (in view of Aleia et al.) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of

Art Unit: 3627

Wong. Wong discloses the concept of using a user interface to unable customer to create a credit memo request on returned item from vendors.

Further, since Applicant(s) did not seasonably traverse the well-known (Official Notice) statement(s) as stated in the previous Office Action (Paper No. 2, Paragraph No. 9), therefore, the object of the well-known (Official Notice) statement(s) are taken to be admitted prior art. See MPEP §2144.03

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bardenheuer et al. discloses an Internet billing module working with service provider's wed site and allows immediate access to the billing information.

Marshall, Martin "Oracle Apps Now Web-Enabled" May 6, 1996,

<u>CommunicationsWeek</u>, discloses software that handles invoices, service requests, returned-merchandise authorization and credit memos.

Attaway, Morris "Billing Risks & SAP R/3" Aug 1999, <u>Internal Auditor</u>, discloses creating credit memo requests restricted to customer service and accounts receivable employees.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/777,513 Page 6

Art Unit: 3627

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda Jasmin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0465. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (8:00-5:30) alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynda Jasmin Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627